

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,603		01/09/2002	Nicholas Thomas	PA-9902	7928
22840	7590	11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
AMERSHAM BIOSCIENCES				CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PISCATAWAY, NJ 08855			1634		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/914,603

Thomas

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allow	REPLY FILED Nov 17, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. If ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final incompliance incompliance of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ex ap	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the silling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🕱	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Se attached sheet.
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🗆	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🔯	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
∪.⊔	Other: JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

The proposed amendment was not entered because:

(A) Independent claim 1 raises new issues, especially the phrase, "of known sequence",

"by flow cytometry", and "to determine the identity of each bead and to quantify the relative

abundance of each target sequence in the two sources", that would require further consideration

and/or search.

(B) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially

reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

As the proposed amendment was not entered, remarks for request for reconsideration was

not entered and therefore not addressed.

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER